



International Arbitration

Cross-border disputes are ever more significant in international business.

International arbitration has increasingly become the preferred method of resolving such disputes, offering the benefits of greater flexibility, confidentiality and crucially, prospects for enforcement, than national court based litigation. The right advice in relation to incorporating optimum international arbitration agreements in commercial deals can pay dividends not only in terms of ultimate success if a full-blown dispute arises, but also in allowing for the negotiation and settlement of disputes from the strongest possible position. Given the multitude of options in terms of arbitral institutions, seat and governing law, it is often not straightforward to make the right choices in an arbitration agreement and therefore getting tailored advice from experienced international arbitration lawyers all the way from the contract drafting stage to the resolution of any disputes can be critical.

International arbitration offers flexibility in procedures and rules of evidence; flexibility as to who will determine the dispute; a greater ease of enforcement worldwide under international conventions; and increased levels of confidentiality and neutrality.

Our leading international arbitration practice has lawyers located worldwide. Many of our lawyers are acknowledged as leaders in the field of international arbitration and serve on the institutions that administer international arbitration across the world such as the ICC International Court of Arbitration, the American Arbitration Association, the Singapore International Arbitration Association and the London Court of International Arbitration.

Our global team has vast experience in conducting international arbitration including acting as counsel or sitting as arbitrator under all the major institutional and procedural rules, including those of the AAA, CIETAC, DIAC, HKIAC, ICC, ICSID, LCIA, Milan Chamber of Commerce, SCC, SIAC and UNCITRAL. The global reach of our team leaves us especially well placed to put together teams that speak the 'right language' - not just literally, but also in the sense of understanding first hand the key business, political and cultural issues that may be in play. We deliberately do not adopt a 'fly-in/fly-out' model but pride ourselves on our ability to put together teams with both international arbitration experience and an understanding of applicable local laws and

KEY CONTACTS

Kate Brown de Vejar

Partner
Mexico City
T: +52 55 5261 1886
kate.browndevejar@dlapiper.com

Michael Ostrove

Partner
Paris
T: +33 (0)1 40 15 24
94
michael.ostrove@dlapiper.com

Gitanjali Bajaj

Partner
Sydney
T: +61 2 9286 8440
gitanjali.bajaj@dlapiper.com

T. Alexander Brabant

Co-Managing Partner
Paris
T: +33 (0)1 40 15 25
65
alexander.brabant@dlapiper.com

Philip Chong

Partner
London
T: +44 (0)20 7153
7378
philip.chong@dlapiper.com

Mariana Lozza

Partner

cultures.

We represent parties in international arbitrations arising in a broad range of industry sectors, including aviation, banking, construction, energy, insurance and reinsurance, international finance, international trade and investments, intellectual property, maritime, media and communications, technology and telecommunications.

We have extensive experience in handling arbitration disputes involving sovereign states, including claims brought under bilateral investment treaties (BITs) and multilateral investment treaties (MITs), such as the Energy Charter Treaty, involving the application of public international as well as private law.

CAPABILITES

- Advise on the management of risk through the drafting of arbitration agreements, including the selection of arbitration rules, choice of law and jurisdiction.
- Conduct arbitral proceedings.
- Enforce and resist the enforcement of arbitral awards worldwide.
- Litigate before domestic courts in connection with arbitration, including obtaining emergency injunctions and orders.
- Provide guidance on the incorporation of specific arbitration rules, choices of law and jurisdiction.
- Advise on the protection of investments through the application of BITs and MITs incorporating arbitration procedures.
- Advise on other effective dispute resolution mechanisms, such as alternative dispute resolution (ADR).

EXPERIENCE

Energy

- Representing Gazprom in a series of SCC arbitrations against the Ukrainian gas company Naftogaz involving multi-billion dollar claims relating to the supply, transit and pricing of gas.
- Representing an international crude oil trading company in English, Nigerian and Dutch proceedings against a major Nigerian importer of oil products relating to amounts owed for the sale and conversion of an oil tanker.
- Representing an oil and gas major in an English law London seated LCIA arbitration in respect of a significant gas pricing dispute.
- Representing a major Italian gas company in an ICC arbitration claim valued at USD 250 million concerning a gas pricing dispute in Libya in the context of changes in the European gas price market.

Mining

- Representing the Republic of Guinea in its investigation of corruption in the acquisition of rights to one of the world's most important iron ore deposits, and defense of parallel multi-billion dollar ICSID arbitrations brought by the investor alleging expropriation of a mining concession and related rights. (BSG Resources Limited, BSG Resources (Guinea) Limited and BSG Resources (Guinea) SÀRL v. Republic of Guinea (ICSID Case No. ARB/14/22)).
- Successfully representing the Republic of Kenya in the defence of ICSID proceedings brought by an investor active in the mining sector, Cortec. This claim was the highest value and most strategically important investment treaty claim Kenya has ever faced. (Cortec Mining Kenya Limited, Cortec (Pty) Limited and Stirling Capital Limited v. Republic of Kenya (ICSID Case No. ARB/15/29)).
- Representing CCX Colombia in an ICC arbitration concerning the sale of the largest coal reserves in Latin America.
- Successfully representing the Sultanate of Oman in the defense of the first ever claim brought under the US Oman Free Trade Agreement. The claims were valued at USD 275 million and arose out of various concession and lease agreements relating to mining rights. (Adel A Hamadi Al Tamimi v. Sultanate of Oman (ICSID Case No. ARB/11/33)).

Buenos Aires
T: +5411 4114 5500
m.lozza@dlapiper.com.ar

Henry Quinlan

Partner
Dubai
T: +971 4 438 6350
Henry.Quinlan@dlapiper.com

Ernest Yang

Partner
Hong Kong
T: +852 2103 0768
ernest.yang@dlapiper.com

Life Sciences

- Representing a leading pharmaceutical company in ICC arbitration proceedings against a US biopharmaceutical company with respect to breaches of a licensing agreement for the development and sale of a medicinal product.
- Successfully representing a seed-stage venture fund partnering in the creation of medical technology companies and an international biotechnology company in an Orlando seated AAA arbitration against a US consulting services company arising from the breach by the latter of a license agreement with our client.
- Successfully defending a leading provider of innovative medical devices against a EUR 3.125m claim resulting from an acquisition in the Netherlands, and securing a full cost order for our client.
- Representing a US pharmaceuticals company as Respondent in an SCC arbitration involving a dispute over milestones in share purchase agreement related to commercial development of pharmaceutical drug.

Banking

- Representing Lithuania in the defence of UNCITRAL arbitration proceedings brought by an investor in the banking sector.
- Acting for Standard Chartered Bank in both litigation in the Commercial Court in London and potential arbitration with a London seat under ICC Rules in relation to the recovery of over US\$130 million connected to the insolvency of a major middle eastern oil trader with far reaching repercussions.
- Acting for a major British bank against significant European financial institutions and a European State in claims arising from the takeover and restructuring of another major European bank in 2007.
- Acting for major British bank in an ICC arbitration in Paris in respect of EUR 135 million in relation to the restructuring of a consortium arrangement.

Intellectual Property and Technology

- Acting for a subsidiary of a leading multinational conglomerate in a HKIAC arbitration against a Singapore-based subcontractor in relation to the disputes arising from the IT sub-contract package for a construction project in Saudi Arabia.
- Representing the privatised telecommunications operator in Albania in its defense of a EUR 120 million ICC arbitration relating to an Albanian law claim brought by a rival telecommunications operator regarding an interconnection agreement.
- Representing a major US software and hardware company in an AAA arbitration seated in London and related litigation proceedings brought in California and Delaware in relation to a dispute with a Swiss mobile software company regarding the unlicensed use of its intellectual property, breach of contract and copyright, and anti-competitive behavior.
- Representing a large Asian technology service provider in a NOK 1.2 billion institutional arbitration subject to Norwegian law concerning a long term comprehensive redesign of key communication services offered by a major European telecommunications company.

Construction, Engineering and Infrastructure

For our arbitration experience in Construction, Engineering and Infrastructure please refer to the dedicated page.

Investment Arbitration

- Representing Lithuania in the defence of UNCITRAL arbitration proceedings brought by an investor in the banking sector.
- Successfully representing the Republic of Kenya in the defence of ICSID proceedings brought by an investor active in the mining sector, Cortec. This claim was the highest value and most strategically important investment treaty claim Kenya has ever faced. (Cortec Mining Kenya Limited, Cortec (Pty) Limited and Stirling Capital Limited v. Republic of Kenya (ICSID Case No. ARB/15/29)).
- Successfully representing Belgian investors in a multi-million dollar ICSID arbitration against the Republic of Madagascar relating to an investment in the textile manufacturing sector ((DS)2, S.A., Peter de Sutter and Kristof De Sutter v. Republic of Madagascar (ICSID Case No. ARB/17/18)).
- Successfully representing the Sultanate of Oman in the defense of first ever claim brought under the US Oman Free Trade Agreement. The claims were valued at USD 275 million and arose out of various concession and lease agreements relating to mining rights. (Adel A Hamadi Al Tamimi v. Sultanate of Oman (ICSID Case No. ARB/11/33)).

- Representing the Republic of Moldova in an ICSID arbitration brought under the bilateral investment treaty between France and Moldova. The Claimant sought damages in excess of USD 55 million for alleged mistreatment of investments in duty free stores on the Romania Moldova border and at the Chisinau airport. (Franck Charles Arif v. Republic of Moldova (ICSID Case No. ARB/11/23)).
- Representing the Republic of Timor Leste in ICSID proceedings brought by an investor in the energy sector. (Lighthouse Corporation Pty Ltd and Lighthouse Corporation Ltd, IBC v. Democratic Republic of Timor Leste (ICSID Case No. ARB/15/2)).

INSIGHTS

Publications

The ICC Publishes Revised Rules for 2021

19 October 2020

The International Chamber of Commerce (the ICC) has revised its Arbitration Rules with the new set of rules set to enter into force on 1 January 2021.[1] The 2021 Rules, currently in draft form, will apply to all cases submitted to the International Court of Arbitration of the ICC from that date on (unless the arbitration agreement provides otherwise).

Africa Arbitration Review

15 October 2020

Africa continues to make its mark in the world of international arbitration and has been the home of some innovative developments in recent months. In this new series, we will highlight some of the major developments for international arbitration across Africa and give you an insight into what to expect in coming months.

Online Arbitration Hearings: A review of key developments in response to COVID-19

28 September 2020

In this second report, we focus on arbitration and look at how arbitral institutions have adapted in response to COVID-19. We draw out some of the key legal as well as practical challenges faced, and we offer some observations on what the future may look like.

The landscape after Bresco: John Doyle Construction Ltd v Erith Contractors Ltd

21 September 2020

The Supreme Court's decision in *Bresco v Lonsdale* has been hailed by some as opening the floodgates to adjudications by insolvent companies. But as a series of recent judgments show, there remain a number of obstacles that will need to be overcome by insolvent entities seeking to enforce an adjudication award.

English High Court allows rare appeal of arbitral award on point of law

21 August 2020

The recent decision of *Tricon Energy Ltd v MTM Trading LLC* [2020] EWHC provides a rare example of the English High Court allowing an appeal against the decision of an arbitral tribunal on a point of law.

New Rules for a New Normal: The LCIA announces changes to its Arbitration Rules and Mediation Rules

14 August 2020

On 11 August 2020, the LCIA released an update to its LCIA Arbitration Rules and LCIA Mediation Rules (the "Rules"). The updates to the Rules will become effective on 1 October 2020, marking six years since the arbitration rules currently in force came into effect.

The end of Intra-EU BITs. Now what?

4 August 2020

In this second article, we will explore in more detail the fate of pending intra-EU arbitrations affected by the termination treaty and how States and claimants should deal with these arbitrations.

Court of Appeal confirms mining company is not liable for human rights abuses in Sierra Leone

17 July 2020

Earlier this year, the Court of Appeal handed down its judgment in the case of *Kalma v (1) African Minerals Limited, (2) African Minerals (SL) Limited and (3) Tonkolili Iron Ore (SL) Limited*. In this case review, we consider the Court's findings in relation to (i) accessory liability and (ii) companies' duty of care when operating abroad.

Global Class Actions Briefing: Major developments in European consumer protection laws: Product safety and consumer class actions in Europe

15 July 2020

The EU's proposals for a revised EU General Product Safety Directive (the GPSD) and the EU's deal for a Collective Redress Directive (the CRD) point the way to a future of heightened novel risk in Europe of collective redress or, as these claims are popularly known, "class actions".

One less gadget in the arbitration toolbox: International and offshore arbitrations are not entitled to US discovery in aid of foreign proceedings

13 July 2020

A ruling that is highly relevant to the private funds and offshore dispute practice areas.

A successful s67 challenge: Silence did not confer authority to enter into an arbitration agreement

7 July 2020

The case *MVV Environment Devonport Ltd v NTO Shipping GmbH & Co. KG MS 'MV Nortrader'* was one of the few successful challenges to an arbitral award which we have seen before the English courts in recent years.

DC Circuit issues opinion on sovereign immunity defenses to the enforcement of arbitration award against foreign states

1 July 2020

Foreign states might not only be able to rely on the doctrine of sovereign immunity to protect themselves from final judgments, but also to avoid the burdens of litigation itself.

A jurisdiction too far: The English Commercial Court declines to continue freezing injunctions in support of foreign and English seated arbitrations

26 June 2020

In *Petrochemical Logistics Ltd & Axel Krueger v PSB Alpha AG & Konstantinos Ghertsos*, the English Commercial Court declined to continue two freezing injunctions against the Defendants in support of both a London-seated LCIA arbitration and a Swiss-seated arbitration.

Top five factors for firms to consider when conducting remote investigations: new realities?

8 June 2020

The COVID-19 pandemic has forced many businesses to overhaul their working practices, as normal modes of working once taken for granted. These new modes of working raise novel practical issues with traditional investigative methods such as document collection/review and interviewing witnesses.

Just deserts: English court grants conditional anti-suit injunction on “quasi-contractual” basis

5 June 2020

Times Trading Corporation v National Bank of Fujairah (Dubai Branch), the English Commercial Court granted an anti-suit injunction (ASI) restraining the National Bank of Fujairah (Dubai Branch) (NBF) from pursuing Singaporean court proceedings. The judgment contains a helpful summary of general principles applicable to “contractual” ASIs.

COVID-19 et protectionnisme : Quelles protections pour les investisseurs étrangers.

28 May 2020

Face au défi de la relance économique, des mesures d'aide sont prises par certains Etats en soutien à leurs nationaux et aux entreprises locales. Une attention particulière devra être apportée par les Etats sur toute mise en place non équitable ou discriminatoire de telles mesures, ou ayant pour effet de faire perdre toute valeur à un investissement.

LCIA annual casework report shows continued growth and diversity in both its caseload and its choice of arbitrators in 2019

25 May 2020

On 19 May 2020 the LCIA published its annual casework report for 2019. The report provides a useful summary of trends in the LCIA caseload during last year, and demonstrates the global nature and variety of disputes referred to the LCIA, in particular the continued increase in the international appeal of the LCIA.

COVID-19 - Time and cost claims under construction contracts in the UK

21 May 2020

On 10 May the UK Government announced a roadmap to ease the restrictions in place as a result of coronavirus, of particular relevance to the construction industry, the Government's guidance in relation to work has changed in emphasis. This article discusses the guidance aimed at the construction industry.

The end of Intra-EU BITs. Now what? (Part 1)

15 May 2020

In this first of a series of four articles, we analyse the key aspects of the decision of the majority of EU Member States to terminate the bilateral investment treaties between them.

Virtual hearings report

14 May 2020

This document presents the first global, empirical study on the use of virtual hearings during the COVID-19 confinement. This just one in a series of paradigm shifts that will affect the disputes market.

State defences to investment claims arising from COVID-19

29 April 2020

In response to the COVID-19 pandemic, States have been taking emergency measures to limit the impact of the virus. In our first article, we considered whether these emergency measures could give rise to claims by foreign investors for breaches of international law.

COVID-19: ¿Una base legítima para reclamos de inversión?

21 April 2020

Si las medidas tomadas por diferentes estados en respuesta a COVID-19 podrían representar una base legítima para potenciales reclamos en virtud de los tratados bilaterales de inversión.

COVID-19 – a legitimate basis for investment claims?

16 April 2020

This article considers whether measures taken by States in response to the COVID-19 pandemic could provide a legitimate basis for claims under bilateral investment treaties (BITs) or other investment protection instruments, and identifies some of the defences that may be available to States.

The English Court of Appeal confirms that a non-signatory can enforce an arbitration agreement under common law agency

10 April 2020

In the case of *Filatona Trading Ltd and Ors v Navigator Equities Ltd and Ors*, the English Court of Appeal recently upheld the decision of the English Commercial Court, finding that a disclosed and identified principal was entitled to rely on a contract entered into by their agent, including the arbitration agreement contained in that contract.

English courts release latest statistics on arbitration related applications

7 April 2020

On 27 February 2020, the English Commercial Court (the Court) published its annual report for 2018-2019 (the Report). The Report confirms that despite the relatively high number of arbitration related applications handled by the Court, the Court rarely allows challenges to arbitral awards. The statistics reinforce the view that England remains an arbitration friendly jurisdiction and that the Court is reluctant to interfere in the arbitral process.

The Court of Appeal broadens the Courts' powers in aid of arbitration

7 April 2020

The Court of Appeal has handed down its judgment in *A v C*, in which it has confirmed that section 44(2) of the Arbitration Act 1996 gives the English Court jurisdiction to make orders against non-party witnesses for the taking of evidence in aid of foreign or domestic arbitrations.

Ethiopia Ratifies the New York Convention

24 March 2020

On February 13, 2020 the Ethiopian Government approved the ratification of the 1958 Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards, commonly known as the New York Convention. In doing so, Ethiopia becomes the 33rd African and the 162nd international State to sign the New York Convention.

Coronavirus (COVID-19): ten practical steps for global employers, right now (Global)

13 March 2020

These steps are not based on laws of any one jurisdiction but rather are designed to provide a global employer with themes to consider, understanding that what may be suitable for each employer may vary greatly depending on the employer's unique circumstances.

Asia Pacific Arbitration Roundup 2019

12 March 2020

Welcome to the first edition of our new Asia-Pac Arbitration Roundup.

Singapore deposits instrument of ratification for the Singapore Convention

5 March 2020

Ambassador Satyendra Prasad of Fiji became the first two countries to deposit their instrument to ratify the Singapore Convention (also known as the United Nations Convention on International Settlement Agreements Resulting from Mediation).

ADGM Courts issue first arbitration-related judgements

3 March 2020

2019 saw the Abu Dhabi Global Market Court (ADGM Court) publish two arbitration-related judgments, the first published since its launch in December 2018. The first case related to the validity of an ADGM arbitration agreement (*A3 v B3* [2019] ADGMCFI 0004) and the second related to the recognition and enforcement of a New York Convention award in the ADGM (and included an insight into the court's approach to the "conduit jurisdiction" question) (*A4 v B4* [2019] ADGMCFI 0007).

Update: The Hague Rules on Business and Human Rights Arbitration

18 February 2020

The recently released Hague Rules on Business and Human Rights Arbitration may assist and encourage the widening of the ambit of arbitration beyond commercial disputes to those concerning the effect of commercial activities on human rights.

Novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) – potential effects on international arbitration, sale of goods, shipping and shipbuilding (AsiaPac)

10 February 2020

The current outbreak of novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) is causing widespread concern. This article will discuss the potential impact on international arbitration, sales of goods, shipping and shipbuilding contracts.

Construction delays arising out of the Novel Coronavirus outbreak (AsiaPac)

7 February 2020

Since the first case of coronavirus was confirmed in Hong Kong in early February 2020, the government has imposed various measures in an attempt to contain the spread of the coronavirus. The resulting impact of the novel coronavirus is far-reaching and affects every industry and business in Hong Kong. This alert considers some of the key issues that construction contractors and developers in Hong Kong may face. If you need any specific advice, please contact May Ng or Sandy Au for further details.

P v D arbitration claims under section 68 and 33 of the Arbitration Act 1996

29 January 2020

The decision from the English Commercial Court highlights the consequences for parties if they fail to interrogate fully opponent witnesses on key points of evidence, and for Tribunals of issuing awards inconsistent with unchallenged evidence or predicated upon a case that has not been advanced.

Brazil's new Franchising Law allows parties to settle their disputes by arbitration

27 January 2020

The new framework aims to allow the expansion of franchising in Brazil through more legal certainty, transparency and simplification.

Genocide Case Against Myanmar in the ICJ

24 January 2020

The International Court of Justice (ICJ) yesterday delivered an historic Order for provisional measures with respect to the application brought by The Gambia against Myanmar for allegations of genocide.

Arbitrating climate change disputes

20 January 2020

Climate change is a high priority on the global political and business agendas. As States and private parties become subject to increasing regulation to limit carbon emissions, existing commercial relationships in a range of sectors will be affected, giving rise to the potential for disputes.

Updates on Interim Measures from the PRC Courts in Support of Hong Kong Arbitration

27 December 2019

On 16 December 2019, the HKIAC published information on its practice of processing applications under the Arrangement Concerning Mutual Assistance in Court-ordered Interim Measures in Aid of Arbitral Proceedings by the Courts of the Mainland and of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region (the Arrangement).

Arbitrators who were imprisoned in Peru have been released, but remain subject to significant restrictions on their freedom pending corruption investigations

5 December 2019

As has been widely reported, on 4 November 2019 the Peruvian Preparatory Investigation Court ordered the "preventative detention" of fourteen arbitrators who sat as arbitrators in cases between Odebrecht - the Brazilian construction company at the centre of the Operation Carwash corruption scandal in Brazil - and the Peruvian government.

Interim Measures now available for Hong Kong arbitrations from the PRC courts

21 October 2019

On 1 October 2019, the Arrangement Concerning Mutual Assistance in Court-ordered Interim Measures in Aid of Arbitral Proceedings by the Courts of the Mainland and of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region (the Arrangement) came into force. This is a significant development because interim measures are now available in the PRC for arbitrations seated in Hong Kong.

Brazilian federal government issues decree regulating arbitration in disputes between Public Administration and transport and logistics sectors

1 October 2019

The Brazilian federal government recently issued a decree regulating arbitration of disputes involving the Public Administration and relating to infrastructure projects – ports, roads, railways, waterways and airports.

Take your 'Seat' - the relative merits of European arbitral seats

19 July 2019

On the 19th June 2019, DLA Piper hosted a debate at their London office on the relative merits of conducting arbitration proceedings in different European seats of arbitration.

Modernisation of Sweden's Arbitration Act

26 MAR 2019

As of 1 March 2019, a number of changes have been made to the Swedish Arbitration Act (the Act) in an effort to modernise the Act. One significant change is that Swedish courts hearing challenge proceedings may allow oral evidence to be given in the English language, without translation to Swedish.

Russia's Supreme Court demonstrates a pro-arbitration approach

15 FEB 2019

On 26 December 2018, the Russian Supreme Court issued a review of Russian court practice relating to international and domestic arbitration, and the enforcement and recognition of awards (Review).

The Prague Rules: "Civil" War On The Evidence In International Arbitration

24 JAN 2019

Efficiency has been a buzzword in international arbitration for a number of years. In response to concerns expressed by users about perceived spiralling cost and delays, practitioners and institutions have been keen to take steps to ensure that international arbitration remains fit for purpose.

ICC update - Conduct of Arbitration

22 JAN 2019

On 1 January 2019, new updates to the Note to the Parties and Arbitral Tribunals on the Conduct of the Arbitration under the ICC Rules of Arbitration (the Note) became effective.

EU Member States issue a joint declaration on the legal consequences of the *Achmea* decision

18 JAN 2019

On January 15, 2019, the Member States of the European Union (EU) issued several declarations on the legal consequences of the *Achmea* decision on the regime of investment protection and, in particular, investment arbitration.

Inconsistent dispute resolution clauses - when should the "one-stop shop" give way to gravity?

27 DEC 2018

Where, in complex commercial arrangements, there is a multiplicity of agreements, problems associated with inconsistencies between them abound. That can have significant consequences, particularly where there is an inconsistency between dispute resolution provisions in different but related contracts.

APPs en América Latina: resolución de disputas a través de arbitraje inversor-estado

18 DEC 2018

Medidas de protección de la inversión pueden encontrarse en los contratos de APP, pero también en el derecho internacional.

The Standard ICC Arbitration Clause - Invalid in Russia?

29 NOV 2018

On 26 September 2018 the Russian Supreme Court upheld the decisions of two lower instances rejecting the recognition and enforcement of an ICC arbitral award in the *Dredging and Maritime Management SA v. InjTransStroy AO* dispute.

US courts affirm expansive discovery under 28 U.S.C. § 1782

29 SEP 2015

There is increasingly clear consensus among US courts giving § 1782 expansive reach.

Events

Upcoming

Disputes arising from Sino-US tensions

29 October 2020

Webinar

[Previous](#)

Online hearings: balancing justice and efficiency for clients

20 July 2020
Webinar

DLA Piper Global Vis Pre-Moot 2020 St Petersburg

10-11 March 2020
DLA Piper Global Vis Pre-Moots Series 2020
St Petersburg

CIArb Australia Vis Pre-Moot hosted by DLA Piper

5-6 March 2020
DLA Piper Global Vis Pre-Moots Series 2020
Melbourne

DLA Piper Global Vis Pre-Moot 2020 Frankfurt

4 March 2020
DLA Piper Global Vis Pre-Moots Series 2020
Frankfurt

DLA Piper Global Vis Pre-Moot 2020 Rio de Janeiro

13-14 February 2020
DLA Piper Global Vis Pre-Moots Series 2020
Rio de Janeiro, RJ

DLA Piper Global Vis Pre-Moot 2020 São Paulo

13-14 February 2020
DLA Piper Global Vis Pre-Moots Series 2020
São Paulo, SP

The Seventh International Pre-Moot Amsterdam

6-9 February 2020
DLA Piper Global Vis Pre-Moots Series 2020
Amsterdam

Take your 'Seat' - a debate on the relative merits of European arbitral seats

19 JUN 2019
London

Through the looking glass - Finding the balance between transparency and confidentiality in international arbitration

7 MAY 2019
London

DLA Piper Global Vis Pre-Moot 2019 (Madrid)

9 APR 2019
DLA Piper Global Vis Pre-Moots Series 2020
Madrid

DLA Piper Global Vis Pre-Moot 2019 (Paris)

8 APR 2019
DLA Piper Global Vis Pre-Moots Series 2020
Paris

DLA Piper Global Vis Pre-Moot 2019 (St. Petersburg)

27-28 MAR 2019
DLA Piper Global Vis Pre-Moots Series 2020
St. Petersburg

DLA Piper Global Vis Pre-Moot 2019 (Rio de Janeiro)

14-15 FEB 2019
DLA Piper Global Vis Pre-Moots Series 2020
Rio de Janeiro, RJ

DLA Piper Global Vis Pre-moot 2019 (Amsterdam)

8-10 FEB 2019
DLA Piper Global Vis Pre-Moots Series 2020
Amsterdam

[NEWS](#)

DLA Piper versterkt Litigation & Regulatory praktijk met Anne Josephus Jitta

8 oktober 2020

DLA Piper heeft Anne Josephus Jitta per 1 oktober als Legal Director aangesteld ter versterking van de Litigation & Regulatory praktijk. Het internationale advocatenkantoor zet met de aanstelling van Josephus Jitta een belangrijke stap in de uitbreiding van het arbitrageteam.

DLA Piper advise Liverpool Football Club on sponsorship claim defence

14 September 2020

DLA Piper has successfully represented The Liverpool Football Club and Athletics Grounds Limited (LFC) in defending a GBP1.13 million claim brought by sports intermediary Winlink Marketing Limited.

DLA Piper arbitration practice recognized as world leading by Global Arbitration Review

14 July 2020

DLA Piper has been recognized once again as having one of the world's leading international arbitration practices.

Law firms collaborate on industry first to accelerate tech adoption in international arbitration

2 July 2020

DLA Piper is amongst six international law firms which have developed a Protocol to help deliver a globally consistent approach to the use of online case management platforms in international arbitration.

DLA Piper announces partnership promotions for 2020

30 April 2020

DLA Piper is proud to announce that 67 lawyers have been promoted to its partnership. The promotions are effective as of April 1, 2020 in the United States and May 1, 2020 for EMEA and Asia Pacific. The promotions have been made across many of the firm's practice areas in 35 different offices throughout 13 countries.

Across the firm's practices globally, Corporate saw the largest intake of new partners with 19 promotions, followed by Litigation and Regulatory with 15. Intellectual Property and Technology and Finance and Projects had ten and eight promotions respectively, while there were six in Real Estate. Tax and Employment both had four, and there was one in Restructuring.

DLA Piper kondigt partnerbenoemingen voor 2020 aan

30 April 2020

Khaled Dadi en Janet Meesters zijn met ingang van 1 mei 2020 tot partner benoemd bij DLA Piper in Amsterdam.

Wereldwijd heeft het advocatenkantoor 67 advocaten in 13 verschillende landen tot partner benoemd.

Khaled Dadi is als advocaat verbonden aan de Intellectual Property and Technology praktijkgroep. Hij heeft ruime ervaring met het adviseren van zijn cliënten over de juridische aspecten van digitale transformatie processen, strategic sourcing projecten en commerciële contracten. Verder heeft hij uitgebreide ervaring in het adviseren en procederen over IT-geschillen. Dadi adviseert voornamelijk cliënten in de financiële-, technologische- en industriële sector. Daarnaast is hij betrokken bij pro bono projecten voor onder andere UNICEF, Plan Nederland en het door DLA Piper opgezette Know Your Rights programma.

DLA Piper strengthens Litigation & Regulatory practice with appointment of Martijn Boeve

28 April 2020

DLA Piper has strengthened its Litigation & Regulatory practice, by appointing Martijn Boeve as Legal Director as of 1 April. The appointment is an important step in the expansion of the international law firm's Financial Regulatory team.

DLA Piper lawyers named Acritas Stars

10 March 2020

Acritas has named over 200 DLA Piper lawyers as 2020 Acritas Stars. Now in its fourth year, Acritas Stars highlights the stand-out lawyers in private practice as nominated by clients around the world. More than 3,000 senior in-house counsel feed into the nomination process to give a comprehensive view of highly recommended lawyers across the globe.

DLA Piper appoints Head of Global Government Affairs team

6 March 2020

DLA Piper announces the strengthening of its Global Government Affairs team with the appointment of Richard Sterneberg who will head up the team in its Brussels office. Richard brings with him over 20 years of experience working in Brussels as a global government affairs expert.

DLA Piper launches its Global Litigation Guide

3 December 2019

DLA Piper has launched its 'Global Litigation Guide', which covers aspects of civil litigation in 30 jurisdictions worldwide.

DLA Piper continues to boost CEE region capability with new hires in Poland

5 September 2019

DLA Piper today announces the appointment of eight new lawyers in its Warsaw office into three key practice areas of Corporate, Finance & Projects (F&P) and Litigation & Regulatory. These hires follow the recent appointment of corporate partners Marek Sawicki and Jakub Marcinkowski from CMS.

DLA Piper announces launch of Artificial Intelligence practice

14 MAY 2019

DLA Piper announced today the launch of its Artificial Intelligence practice, which will focus on assisting companies as they navigate the legal landscape of emerging and disruptive technologies, while helping them understand the legal and compliance risks arising from the creation and deployment of AI systems.

DLA Piper establishes Litigation Regulatory offering in Dublin with partner hire

18 APR 2019

DLA Piper today announces the appointment of Caoimhe Clarkin as a Litigation & Regulatory partner in the firm's Dublin office. This

is the seventh partner hire for DLA Piper in Dublin this year.

DLA Piper announces partnership promotions for 2019

1 APR 2019

DLA Piper is proud to announce that 77 lawyers have been promoted to its partnership. The promotions are effective as of April 1, 2019 in the United States and May 1, 2019 for EMEA and Asia Pacific. The promotions were made across many of the firm's practice areas in 43 different offices throughout 20 countries.

DLA Piper announces launch of Blockchain and Digital Assets practice

26 FEB 2019

DLA Piper announced today the launch of its Blockchain and Digital Assets practice, which will offer strategic advice on a global basis to address the needs of companies implementing blockchain technology solutions and creating and deploying digital assets.

Kate Brown de Vejar joins DLA Piper as global co-chair of International Arbitration

22 JAN 2019

DLA Piper announced today that Kate Brown de Vejar has joined the firm's Litigation practice as a partner in Mexico City and New York.

International Arbitration lawyers selected by Who's Who Legal

7 Jan 2019

We are delighted that Who's Who Legal, a directory of the "foremost legal practitioners in business law, based upon comprehensive, independent research among general counsel and private practice lawyers worldwide," has selected fifteen DLA Piper lawyers for its latest edition covering arbitration and construction.

DLA Piper and IKM Advocates secure victory for the Republic of Kenya defeating a US\$2 billion ICSID claim

24 OCT 2018

In a decisive victory for the Republic of Kenya, DLA Piper and DLA Piper Africa member firm, IKM Advocates, have successfully defeated the claim estimated to be worth US\$2 billion in arbitration proceedings brought by a mining investor, Cortec Mining Kenya Limited. The claim was brought under the bilateral investment treaty (BIT) between the UK and Kenya dated 13 September 1999. The arbitration took place under the auspices of the World Bank's International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes (ICSID), with the hearing taking place in Dubai in January 2018. The Tribunal issued its award on 22 October 2018.
