International Arbitration

Cross-border disputes are ever more significant in international business. International arbitration has increasingly become the preferred method of resolving such disputes, offering the benefits of greater flexibility, confidentiality and crucially, prospects for enforcement, than national court based litigation. The right advice in relation to incorporating optimum international arbitration agreements in commercial deals can pay dividends not only in terms of ultimate success if a full-blown dispute arises, but also in allowing for the negotiation and settlement of disputes from the strongest possible position. Given the multitude of options in terms of arbitral institutions, seat and governing law, it is often not straightforward to make the right choices in an arbitration agreement and therefore getting tailored advice from experienced international arbitration lawyers all the way from the contract drafting stage to the resolution of any disputes can be critical.

International arbitration offers flexibility in procedures and rules of evidence; flexibility as to who will determine the dispute; a greater ease of enforcement worldwide under international conventions; and increased levels of confidentiality and neutrality.

Our leading international arbitration practice has lawyers located worldwide. Many of our lawyers are acknowledged as leaders in the field of international arbitration and serve on the institutions that administer international arbitration across the world such as the ICC International Court of Arbitration, the American Arbitration Association, the Singapore International Arbitration Association and the London Court of International Arbitration.

Our global team has vast experience in conducting international arbitration including acting as counsel or sitting as arbitrator under all the major institutional and procedural rules, including those of the AAA, CIETAC, DIAC, HKIAC, ICC, ICSID, LCIA, Milan Chamber of Commerce, SCC, SIAC and UNCITRAL. The global reach of our team leaves us especially well placed to put together teams that speak the ‘right language’ - not just literally, but also in the sense of understanding first hand the key business, political and cultural issues that may be in play. We deliberately do not adopt a ‘fly-in/fly-out’ model but pride ourselves on our ability to put together teams with both international arbitration experience and an understanding of applicable local laws and cultures.
We represent parties in international arbitrations arising in a broad range of industry sectors, including aviation, banking, construction, energy, insurance and reinsurance, international finance, international trade and investments, intellectual property, maritime, media and communications, technology and telecommunications.

We have extensive experience in handling arbitration disputes involving sovereign states, including claims brought under bilateral investment treaties (BITs) and multilateral investment treaties (MITs), such as the Energy Charter Treaty, involving the application of public international law as well as private law. In addition to our expertise in investment arbitration, we offer a range of dispute resolution and advisory services to government and corporate clients facing public international law issues. Our team of international law experts have advised states, multinational corporations and international organisations on issues such as boundary disputes, law of the sea, treaty negotiations, cross-border resource development, sanctions, and human rights law.

CAPABILITES

- Advise on the management of risk through the drafting of arbitration agreements, including the selection of arbitration rules, choice of law and jurisdiction.
- Conduct arbitral proceedings.
- Enforce and resist the enforcement of arbitral awards worldwide.
- Litigate before domestic courts in connection with arbitration, including obtaining emergency injunctions and orders.
- Provide guidance on the incorporation of specific arbitration rules, choices of law and jurisdiction.
- Advise on the protection of investments through the application of BITs and MITs incorporating arbitration procedures.
- Advise on other effective dispute resolution mechanisms, such as alternative dispute resolution (ADR).
- Advise on the strategy for resolving complex international disputes, using legal and other pressure points to achieve overall objectives.
- Advise sovereign states on issues of public international law, such as law of the sea, land and maritime boundaries, and treaty interpretation.

EXPERIENCE

Energy

- Representing Gazprom in a series of SCC arbitrations against the Ukrainian gas company Naftogaz involving multi-billion dollar claims relating to the supply, transit and pricing of gas.
- Representing an international crude oil trading company in English, Nigerian and Dutch proceedings against a major Nigerian importer of oil products relating to amounts owed for the sale and conversion of an oil tanker.
- Representing an oil and gas major in an English law London seated LCIA arbitration in respect of a significant gas pricing dispute.
- Representing a major Italian gas company in an ICC arbitration claim valued at USD 250 million concerning a gas pricing dispute in Libya in the context of changes in the European gas price market.

Mining

- Representing the Republic of Guinea in its investigation of corruption in the acquisition of rights to one of the world’s most important iron ore deposits, and defense of parallel multi-billion dollar ICSID arbitrations brought by the investor alleging expropriation of a mining concession and related rights. (BSG Resources Limited, BSG Resources (Guinea) Limited and BSG Resources (Guinea) SÀRL v. Republic of Guinea (ICSID Case No. ARB/14/22)).
- Successfully representing the Republic of Kenya in the defence of ICSID proceedings brought by an investor active in the mining sector.
Cortec. This claim was the highest value and most strategically important investment treaty claim Kenya has ever faced. (Cortec Mining Kenya Limited, Cortec (Pty) Limited and Stirling Capital Limited v. Republic of Kenya (ICSID Case No. ARB/15/29)).

- Representing CCX Colombia in an ICC arbitration concerning the sale of the largest coal reserves in Latin America.
- Successfully representing the Sultanate of Oman in the defense of the first ever claim brought under the US Oman Free Trade Agreement. The claims were valued at USD 275 million and arose out of various concession and lease agreements relating to mining rights. (Adel A Hamadi Al Tamimi v. Sultanate of Oman (ICSID Case No. ARB/11/33)).

**Life Sciences**

- Representing a leading pharmaceutical company in ICC arbitration proceedings against a US biopharmaceutical company with respect to breaches of a licensing agreement for the development and sale of a medicinal product.
- Successfully representing a seed-stage venture fund partnering in the creation of medical technology companies and an international biotechnology company in an Orlando seated AAA arbitration against a US consulting services company arising from the breach by the latter of a license agreement with our client.
- Successfully defending a leading provider of innovative medical devices against a EUR 3.125m claim resulting from an acquisition in the Netherlands, and securing a full cost order for our client.
- Representing a US pharmaceutical company as Respondent in an SCC arbitration involving a dispute over milestones in share purchase agreement related to commercial development of pharmaceutical drug.

**Banking**

- Representing Lithuania in the defence of UNCITRAL arbitration proceedings brought by an investor in the banking sector.
- Acting for Standard Chartered Bank in both litigation in the Commercial Court in London and potential arbitration with a London seat under ICC Rules in relation to the recovery of over US$130 million connected to the insolvency of a major middle eastern oil trader with far reaching repercussions.
- Acting for a major British bank against significant European financial institutions and a European State in claims arising from the takeover and restructuring of another major European bank in 2007.
- Acting for major British bank in an ICC arbitration in Paris in respect of EUR 135 million in relation to the restructuring of a consortium arrangement.

**Intellectual Property and Technology**

- Acting for a subsidiary of a leading multinational conglomerate in a HKIAC arbitration against a Singapore-based subcontractor in relation to the disputes arising from the IT sub-contract package for a construction project in Saudi Arabia.
- Representing the privatised telecommunications operator in Albania in its defense of a EUR 120 million ICC arbitration relating to an Albanian law claim brought by a rival telecommunications operator regarding an interconnection agreement.
- Representing a major US software and hardware company in an AAA arbitration seated in London and related litigation proceedings brought in California and Delaware in relation to a dispute with a Swiss mobile software company regarding the unlicensed use of its intellectual property, breach of contract and copyright, and anti-competitive behavior.
- Representing a large Asian technology service provider in a NOK 1.2 billion institutional arbitration subject to Norwegian law concerning a long term comprehensive redesign of key communication services offered by a major European telecommunications company.

**Construction, Engineering and Infrastructure**

For our arbitration experience in Construction, Engineering and Infrastructure please refer to the dedicated page.

**Investment Arbitration and Public International Law**

- Representing Lithuania in the defence of UNCITRAL arbitration proceedings brought by an investor in the banking sector.
- Successfully representing the Republic of Kenya in the defence of ICSID proceedings brought by an investor active in the mining sector, Cortec. This claim was the highest value and most strategically important investment treaty claim Kenya has ever faced. (Cortec Mining Kenya Limited, Cortec (Pty) Limited and Stirling Capital Limited v. Republic of Kenya (ICSID Case No. ARB/15/29)).
- Successfully representing Belgian investors in a multi-million dollar ICSID arbitration against the Republic of Madagascar relating to an
investment in the textile manufacturing sector ((DS)2, S.A., Peter de Sutter and Kristof De Sutter v. Republic of Madagascar (ICSID Case No. ARB/17/18)).

- Successfully representing the Sultanate of Oman in the defense of first ever claim brought under the US Oman Free Trade Agreement. The claims were valued at USD 275 million and arose out of various concession and lease agreements relating to mining rights. (Adel A Hamadi Al Tamimi v. Sultanate of Oman (ICSID Case No. ARB/11/33)).

- Representing the Republic of Moldova in an ICSID arbitration brought under the bilateral investment treaty between France and Moldova. The Claimant sought damages in excess of USD 55 million for alleged mistreatment of investments in duty free stores on the Romania Moldova border and at the Chisinau airport. (Franck Charles Arif v. Republic of Moldova (ICSID Case No. ARB/11/23)).

- Representing the Republic of Timor Leste in ICSID proceedings brought by an investor in the energy sector. (Lighthouse Corporation Pty Ltd and Lighthouse Corporation Ltd, IBC v. Democratic Republic of Timor Leste (ICSID Case No. ARB/15/2)).

- Advising Timor-Leste on its strategy for securing maritime boundaries with Australia, including representing Timor-Leste in a case before the International Court of Justice and the first compulsory conciliation on maritime boundaries under the UN Convention on the Law of the Sea, resulting in an historic maritime boundary treaty with Australia. Currently advising a number of other countries on maritime boundary negotiations, and countries and companies regarding petroleum interests in disputed areas and strategies to overcome differences and obstacles to development.

INSIGHTS

Publications

Reduction of French solar tariffs – how can investors protect their rights

10 March 2022
In this briefing, we summarize the key regulatory changes which will impact solar projects and identify the avenues available to investors to protect their interests, and the long-term returns on their investments.

France Investment Treaty Arbitration

16 December 2021
DLA Piper is delighted to have collaborated with Lexology Getting The Deal Through to produce the chapters on France and Spain in its Investment Treaty Arbitration 2022 publication.

Spain Investment Treaty Arbitration

16 December 2021
DLA Piper is delighted to have collaborated with Lexology Getting The Deal Through to produce the chapters on Spain and France in its Investment Treaty Arbitration 2022 publication.

Disclosure dos and don’ts – ICSID Tribunal’s reminder to parties of document production principles

13 December 2021
This article outlines the dos and don'ts of document production issued in a recent procedural order from the ICSID Tribunal.

Law applicable to arbitration agreements: UK Supreme Court confirms law of the contract generally prevails

22 November 2021
In the eagerly anticipated judgment of Kabab-ji v Kout, the English Supreme Court upheld the Court of Appeal's 2020 decision in the same matter that when English law is expressly chosen by the parties to govern a contract, English law will also usually govern the arbitration
agreement contained in that contract.

New developments in the Mexican energy sector generate uncertainty

17 November 2021
A discussion of relevant measures, criticisms and comments.

Successful asset preservation application in Mainland China prior to the recognition of Hong Kong arbitral award

17 November 2021
Following a victory in an arbitration at the HK International Arbitration Centre lead by DLA Piper Hong Kong, we have recently succeeded in assisting the Israeli client in obtaining an order from the Ningbo Intermediate People's Court for asset preservation prior to the recognition and enforcement of the HKIAC arbitral award in Ningbo, China.

An interview with Aldersgate Funding

11 October 2021
In this podcast, DLA Piper partner Henry Quinlan interviews Jim Holding and Matthew Lo at Aldersgate Funding Limited, who shed some light on the advantages of litigation and arbitration funding; the types of claims eligible for funding; the process of funding a case; and the jurisdictional constraints on this type of financing.

President of Mexico files constitutional reform bill on electricity

7 October 2021
The result may include serious consequences for private investors in the energy sector in Mexico.

Vale v. Steinmetz: The Court of Appeal re-emphasizes the consensual nature of arbitration and says “no” to third-party application of arbitral awards

4 October 2021
On 16 July 2021 the Court of Appeal handed down its judgment in Vale SA & Ors v. Steinmetz & Ors, dismissing an appeal to have litigation proceedings against the appellants summarily struck out on the basis of a determination in an arbitral award to which they were not parties.

The ICC’s 2020 Dispute Resolution Statistics

22 September 2021
The International Chamber of Commerce has recently published its annual Dispute Resolution Statistics for 2020. This article offers a summary of the key takeaways despite challenges posed by COVID-19.

Virtual Hearings 2021
In this latest report in the Virtual Hearings series we set out a summary of data-driven conclusions and personal observations from clients and litigators from around the globe.

Chambers International Arbitration 2021 Global Practice Guide – Trends and Development in China

15 September 2021
China encompasses a number of arbitral jurisdictions, the most popular of which are in Hong Kong and China. In the past year, we continued to see a significant rise in the number of arbitration cases seated in Mainland China and Hong Kong and strong development of the arbitration market despite the pandemic.

“Don’t cross the line” – The UK Supreme Court considers when a legitimate pursuit of commercial interest becomes illegitimate “lawful act duress”

6 September 2021
In the recent case of Pakistan International Airline Corporation v Times Travel (UK) Ltd. [2021] UKSC 40, the Supreme Court of the United Kingdom confirmed that English law recognizes the concept of “lawful act duress”. However, the five Justices of the Supreme Court had different interpretations on what amounted to an illegitimate threat.

Pleading with care: the limits of a tribunal’s jurisdiction

31 August 2021
A recent Singapore High Court decision in CIZ v CJA is a useful reminder that the primary instruments for demarcating matters in dispute in an arbitration are the parties’ own written submissions. Tribunals must decide cases that parties put before them, not cases that parties could (or should) have made.

Guide to Arbitration Rules in the Asia-Pacific Region

30 August 2021
In this guide we provide a side-by-side comparison of the rules of leading APAC arbitral institutions in order to assist clients in appropriately choosing an arbitral institution and seat of arbitration in the Asia-Pacific region to resolve cross border disputes.

New VIAC Arbitration and Mediation Rules Enter into Force

26 July 2021
On 1 July 2021, new Arbitration and Mediation Rules of the Vienna International Arbitration Centre (VIAC and VIAC Rules) entered into force.¹ The revised VIAC Rules will apply to arbitrations commenced after 30 June 2021.

Between a Rock and a hard place: Singapore Courts decline to follow English precedent on ‘No Oral Modification’ clauses

5 July 2021
The Singapore Court of Appeal has decided against strict enforcement of no oral modification clauses, taking a different approach to the English Supreme Court. We summarise the contrasting cases and what this means for businesses operating in either of these jurisdictions.
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The LCIA 2020 Annual Casework Report

21 June 2021

The LCIA published its annual casework report for 2020, the report showed that during the COVID-19 pandemic the LCIA has continued to thrive, with more disputes being referred to the LCIA in 2020 than in any previous year. We have summarised below some of the key trends which emerge from the Report.

GAR guide to challenging and enforcing arbitration awards – Second edition

15 June 2021

The Global Arbitration Review's Guide to Challenging and Enforcing Arbitration Awards addresses the applicable standards for challenging and enforcing awards globally. We have authored a chapter outlining the challenges that may arise when trying to secure the awards.

Investment Arbitration in Africa

15 June 2021

Working with the Global Arbitration Review, we have published a new chapter on Investment Arbitration in Africa. Providing an overview of recent trends and developments in investment arbitration, the rise of investment disputes and and the various initiatives to reform the ISDS system.

Reforms in the energy legal framework in Mexico and challenge mechanisms

4 June 2021

The Energy Counter-Reform includes variations which aim to restore the monopoly power of CFE and Pemex.

ICC and SIAC Receive “Permanent Arbitration Institution” Status in Russia

20 May 2021

On 19 May 2021, it was reported that the International Court of Arbitration of the International Chamber of Commerce (ICC) and the Singapore International Arbitration Centre (SIAC) have received from the Russian Ministry of Justice the right to act in Russia as permanent arbitration institutions (PAI).

ACICA releases updated Arbitration Rules 2021

7 May 2021

The Australian Centre for International Commercial Arbitration (ACICA) has released its revised Rules on Arbitration (2021 Rules). The 2021 Rules apply to all ACICA arbitrations from 1 April 2021, unless parties have specifically agreed to the application of an earlier edition of the ACICA rules.

The 2021 Rules set out ACICAs vision for the future of arbitration by focusing on efficiency of the international arbitration process notably on issues of costs and case management, as well as anticipating the needs of parties in multi-contract arbitrations. We summarise the key updates in this article.
Mexico’s Hydrocarbons Law Reform Bill: A controversial new measure in the Mexican energy sector

8 April 2021
The reform seeks to give Pemex the same monopoly control it had over the national market before the 2013 energy reform.

Paris Court of Appeal finds PCA lacked power to intervene in OIC investor-state arbitration

6 April 2021
The decision of the Paris Court of Appeal casts uncertainty on arbitrations under the OIC Agreement for which the PCA Secretary-General has agreed to act as appointing authority.

Hong Kong Court declined to grant interim interim injunctions under Section 45(2) of the Arbitration Ordinance in the absence of any arbitration on foot

30 March 2021
In the recent case of Onwel Sales Limited v Skechers S.A.R.L. and Skechers Southeast Asia Limited [2021] HKCFI 790, the Hong Kong Court of First Instance has refused to grant an interim interim injunction under Section 45(2) of the Arbitration Ordinance. In this case, the Hong Kong Court discussed some common issues in applications for injunctive reliefs in aid of arbitrations concerning shareholders’/ joint venture disputes.

Revised IBA Rules on the Taking of Evidence in International Arbitration

17 March 2021
The International Bar Association has released its revised Rules on the Taking of Evidence in International Arbitration (2020 IBA Rules). The 2020 IBA Rules were formally adopted on 17 December 2020 and mark the first update to the rules since the 2010 edition (2010 IBA Rules). The revisions to the rules reflect developments in global arbitration practice, including the emergence of remote hearings and the growing need for data protection and confidentiality.

Australia

11 March 2021
This year the Australian courts continued to reaffirm their pro enforcement approach to international arbitration awards. In two significant separate judgments, the Federal Court of Australia rejected attempted challenges to enforcement proceedings relating to an award made by an International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes (ICSID) Tribunal, and an award made by the China International Economic and Trade Arbitration Commission (CIETAC).

China

11 March 2021
There has been a long standing question as to whether foreign arbitration institutions can administer arbitrations seated in Mainland China under the current PRC Arbitration Law regime.

Hong Kong
11 March 2021

The Court of Final Appeal confirmed that the Court may grant relief beyond the scope of an arbitral award in a common law action.

---

Japan

11 March 2021

In Prem Warehouse LLC, et al v Sanyo Electric Co. Ltd. et. al., Osaka High Court Decision, 11 March 2019, 2017 (Ra) No. 1552, the Osaka High Court dismissed a long running challenge to set aside an arbitral award arising from an arbitrator’s failure to disclose potential conflicts of interest.

---

New Zealand

11 March 2021

This case relates to an appeal from a domestic arbitration under clause 5 of Schedule 2 of New Zealand’s Arbitration Act 1996, which allows the Court to intervene in instances where there is an appeal on the basis of a question of law.

---

Singapore

11 March 2021

In this case, the Court of Appeal clarified that a party’s right under Article 18 of the UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration (Model Law) to a ‘full opportunity’ of presenting its case is not unlimited and provided guidance on the correct approach to alleged violations of due process by the Tribunal.

---

South Korea

11 March 2021

In a Decision of the 33rd Division of Civil Affairs of the Seoul High Court (2018 Na 10878) 20 August 2019, an appellate court in Seoul ordered enforcement of an ICC award in favour of a foreign investment company fund against a government funded corporation following a remand by the Supreme Court in 2016 that the relevant arbitration agreement was valid.

---

Thailand

11 March 2021

In 2020, Chevron resumed its USD2 Billion arbitration claim against Thailand in relation to a retroactive 2016 Thai law which requires gas field operators to pay for costs of decommissioning installed assets. The Arbitration proceedings were on hold for one year to permit Chevron to negotiate directly with the Energy Ministry.

---

Asia Pacific Arbitration Roundup 2020
Welcome to the second edition of our Asia Pacific Arbitration Roundup and our third regional arbitration publication.

Reform to the Electric Industry Law: a new risk for energy projects in Mexico

10 March 2021
Foreign investors involved in energy projects in Mexico may wish to consider their rights and potential remedies under applicable investment treaties.

Investment protection falls victim to Brexit - Analysis of the EU-UK Trade and Cooperation Agreement

26 January 2021
On 1 January 2021, the Trade and Cooperation Agreement concluded between the EU and the UK (the TCA) entered into force. The TCA marks the end of a controversial Brexit process and sets out the framework for trading relations going forward.

India Update: Arbitration and Conciliation Ordinance (Amendment), 2020

1 December 2020
On 4 November 2020, the President of India promulgated the Arbitration and Conciliation (Amendment) Ordinance, 2020 (Ordinance), which amends sections 36 (Enforcement) and 43-J (Norms for accreditation) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (Arbitration Act). The Ordinance also omits the eighth schedule of the Arbitration Act, which deals with qualifications and experience of arbitrators.

Current trends in investor state disputes in the telecommunications sector

9 November 2020
The telecommunications sector is one of the fastest growing sectors globally, and has played a critical role during the on-going Covid-19 pandemic in keeping the world interconnected. The high levels of activity in this sector have given rise to a corresponding increase in telecoms-related disputes, including under investment treaties.

Asia Pacific Arbitration Virtual Hearings

2 November 2020
VIRTUAL HEARINGS

In this edition, we take an in-depth look at the various procedural rules and guidance on virtual/online hearings published by major arbitration institutions within the Asia Pacific region and the practical aspects of virtual hearings being carried out in the region.

Argentina: The year in review

26 October 2020
The last year has been a time of significant change in Argentina, including for international arbitration.
Brazil: Will COVID-19 mean more arbitrations against public entities?
26 October 2020
Recent legislative initiatives have created greater certainty for potential and existing parties looking to do business with Brazilian states or their instrumentalities.

Canada: Third-party litigation funding, enforcement of arbitral awards, admissibility of fresh evidence during court review
26 October 2020
Three recent decisions.

Chile: Drafting arbitration clauses in international contracts – practical aspects
26 October 2020
International arbitration has expanded considerably in recent years in Chile.

Peru: Top developments in international arbitration
26 October 2020
Major arbitration-related developments and cases recently decided in Peru.

Puerto Rico: Legal and practical aspects of international arbitration
26 October 2020
Parties benefit from this legal framework to solve their disputes when conducting business in Puerto Rico.

Replacing NAFTA: What the USMCA means for the future of North American trade and investment – a Q&A
26 October 2020
Contributors from the US, Mexico and Canada discuss several key considerations.

US: Four significant developments in arbitration case law
26 October 2020
US-style discovery; compelling arbitration on the basis of equitable estoppel; class-wide arbitration when the arbitration agreement is ambiguous; ongoing use of the US DDCC for ICSID award enforcement.

USMCA investor-state dispute settlement provisions: Key differences for Mexico
26 October 2020
For ISDS claims, only after the local litigation requirement is fulfilled or 30 months have elapsed may certain substantive claims be brought against a state.
Venezuela: Recent, significant commercial arbitration developments include several Supreme Tribunal cases

26 October 2020
Recent significant developments in Venezuela’s arbitration landscape.

Update: The Singapore Mediation Convention and Enforcement of Settlement Agreements in India

13 October 2020
The Singapore Mediation Convention, formally known as the United Nations Convention on International Settlement Agreements Resulting from Mediation, came into force on 12 September 2020. The Convention provides a uniform, efficient framework for the recognition and enforcement of mediated settlement agreements that resolve international, commercial disputes – akin to the framework provided by the 1958 New York Convention for arbitral awards. As of 12 September 2020, the Convention has 53 signatories including the United States, China and India.

Revised ICC Rules in effect from 1 January 2021

9 October 2020
A new version of the ICC Arbitration Rules will come into effect on 1 January 2021 (2021 ICC Rules), replacing the 2017 Arbitration Rules. The updated rules were formally adopted on 6 October and intend to increase efficiency, flexibility and transparency, making ICC Arbitration more attractive to complex arbitrations on all scales.

Recent Breakthroughs for Foreign Arbitration Institutions in China

5 October 2020
On 7 September 2020, the State Council has further published the “Work Plan for Deepening Comprehensive Pilot and New Round of Opening-Up of Services Sectors in Beijing and Building Comprehensive Demonstrative Area of Opening-Up of State Service Sectors” further allowing foreign arbitration institutions to provide arbitration services in Beijing.

Online Arbitration Hearings: A review of key developments in response to COVID-19

28 September 2020
VIRTUAL HEARINGS

In this second report, we focus on arbitration and look at how arbitral institutions have adapted in response to COVID-19. We draw out some of the key legal as well as practical challenges faced, and we offer some observations on what the future may look like.

The landscape after Bresco: John Doyle Construction Ltd v Erith Contractors Ltd

21 September 2020
The Supreme Court’s decision in Bresco v Lonsdale has been hailed by some as opening the floodgates to adjudications by insolvent companies. But as a series of recent judgments show, there remain a number of obstacles that will need to be overcome by insolvent entities seeking to enforce an adjudication award.

New amendments to Singapore’s International Arbitration Act

DLA Piper is a global law firm operating through various separate and distinct legal entities. Further details of these entities can be found at www.dlapiper.com. This may qualify as “Attorney Advertising” requiring notice in some jurisdictions. Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome. Copyright © 2021 DLA Piper. All rights reserved.
15 September 2020
A bill has been tabled in the Singapore parliament introducing amendments to the International Arbitration Act (IAA). According to the Singapore Ministry of Law, the amendments are aimed at enhancing Singapore’s status as an international commercial arbitration hub, and strengthening the state’s legal framework for international arbitration.

---

English High Court allows rare appeal of arbitral award on point of law

21 August 2020
The recent decision of Tricon Energy Ltd v MTM Trading LLC [2020] EWHC provides a rare example of the English High Court allowing an appeal against the decision of an arbitral tribunal on a point of law.

---

New Rules for a New Normal: The LCIA announces changes to its Arbitration Rules and Mediation Rules

14 August 2020
On 11 August 2020, the LCIA released an update to its LCIA Arbitration Rules and LCIA Mediation Rules (the “Rules”). The updates to the Rules will become effective on 1 October 2020, marking six years since the arbitration rules currently in force came into effect.

---

The end of Intra-EU BITs. Now what?

4 August 2020
In this second article, we will explore in more detail the fate of pending intra-EU arbitrations affected by the termination treaty and how States and claimants should deal with these arbitrations.

---

Court of Appeal confirms mining company is not liable for human rights abuses in Sierra Leone

17 July 2020
Earlier this year, the Court of Appeal handed down its judgment in the case of Kalma v (1) African Minerals Limited, (2) African Minerals (SL) Limited and (3) Tonkolili Iron Ore (SL) Limited. In this case review, we consider the Court’s findings in relation to (i) accessory liability and (ii) companies’ duty of care when operating abroad.

---

Global Class Actions Briefing: Major developments in European consumer protection laws: Product safety and consumer class actions in Europe

15 July 2020
The EU’s proposals for a revised EU General Product Safety Directive (the GPSD) and the EU’s deal for a Collective Redress Directive (the CRD) point the way to a future of heightened novel risk in Europe of collective redress or, as these claims are popularly known, “class actions”.

---

GAR - The Asia-Pacific Arbitration Review 2021 - Third Party Funding in the Asia-Pacific

14 July 2020
Third-party funding regimes in the Asia-Pacific region is evolving rapidly in recent years. In general, the trend shows that third-party funding regimes in the region usually take a light touch and a self-regulating approach, focusing on regulating third-party funders, managing conflict of interests, governing the disclosure of the third-party funding arrangement and preventing undue control or influence by the third-party
One less gadget in the arbitration toolbox: International and offshore arbitrations are not entitled to US discovery in aid of foreign proceedings

13 July 2020
A ruling that is highly relevant to the private funds and offshore dispute practice areas.

A successful s67 challenge: Silence did not confer authority to enter into an arbitration agreement

7 July 2020
The case MVV Environment Devonport Ltd v NTO Shipping GmbH & Co. KG MS ‘MV Nortrader’ was one of the few successful challenges to an arbitral award which we have seen before the English courts in recent years.

DC Circuit issues opinion on sovereign immunity defenses to the enforcement of arbitration award against foreign states

1 July 2020
Foreign states might not only be able to rely on the doctrine of sovereign immunity to protect themselves from final judgments, but also to avoid the burdens of litigation itself.

A jurisdiction too far: The English Commercial Court declines to continue freezing injunctions in support of foreign and English seated arbitrations

26 June 2020
In Petrochemical Logistics Ltd & Axel Krueger v PSB Alpha AG & Konstantinos Ghertsos, the English Commercial Court declined to continue two freezing injunctions against the Defendants in support of both a London-seated LCIA arbitration and a Swiss-seated arbitration.

Top five factors for firms to consider when conducting remote investigations: new realities?

8 June 2020
The COVID-19 pandemic has forced many businesses to overhaul their working practices, as normal modes of working once taken for granted. These new modes of working raise novel practical issues with traditional investigative methods such as document collection/review and interviewing witnesses.

Just deserts: English court grants conditional anti-suit injunction on “quasi-contractual” basis

5 June 2020
Times Trading Corporation v National Bank of Fujairah (Dubai Branch), the English Commercial Court granted an anti-suit injunction (ASI) restraining the National Bank of Fujairah (Dubai Branch) (NBF) from pursuing Singaporean court proceedings. The judgment contains a helpful summary of general principles applicable to “contractual” ASIs.
LCIA annual casework report shows continued growth and diversity in both its caseload and its choice of arbitrators in 2019

25 May 2020
On 19 May 2020 the LCIA published its annual casework report for 2019. The report provides a useful summary of trends in the LCIA caseload during last year, and demonstrates the global nature and variety of disputes referred to the LCIA, in particular the continued increase in the international appeal of the LCIA.

COVID-19 - Time and cost claims under construction contracts in the UK

21 May 2020
On 10 May the UK Government announced a roadmap to ease the restrictions in place as a result of coronavirus, of particular relevance to the construction industry, the Government’s guidance in relation to work has changed in emphasis. This article discusses the guidance aimed at the construction industry.

Virtual hearings report

14 May 2020
VIRTUAL HEARINGS

This document presents the first global, empirical study on the use of virtual hearings during the COVID-19 confinement. This just one in a series of paradigm shifts that will affect the disputes market.

State defences to investment claims arising from COVID-19

29 April 2020
In response to the COVID-19 pandemic, States have been taking emergency measures to limit the impact of the virus. In our first article, we considered whether these emergency measures could give rise to claims by foreign investors for breaches of international law.

COVID-19: ¿Una base legítima para reclamos de inversión?

21 April 2020
Si las medidas tomadas por diferentes estados en respuesta a COVID-19 podrían representar una base legítima para potenciales reclamos en virtud de los tratados bilaterales de inversión.

COVID-19 – a legitimate basis for investment claims?

16 April 2020
This article considers whether measures taken by States in response to the COVID-19 pandemic could provide a legitimate basis for claims under bilateral investment treaties (BITs) or other investment protection instruments, and identifies some of the defences that may be available to States.

The English Court of Appeal confirms that a non-signatory can enforce an arbitration agreement under common law agency

10 April 2020
In the case of Filatona Trading Ltd and Ors v Navigator Equities Ltd and Ors, the English Court of Appeal recently upheld the decision of the English Commercial Court, finding that a disclosed and identified principal was entitled to rely on a contract entered into by their agent,
English and French law perspectives on which law applies to the arbitration agreement - Analysis following the English Court of Appeal decision in Kabab-Ji v Kout

7 April 2020

The English Court of Appeal’s (the Court of Appeal) recent decision in Kabab-Ji v Kout confirms that when the parties have chosen a governing law which is said to apply to the entire or all of the terms of their contract, that governing law will apply to the arbitration agreement where the arbitration agreement does not include a separate governing law provision.

English courts release latest statistics on arbitration related applications

7 April 2020

On 27 February 2020, the English Commercial Court (the Court) published its annual report for 2018-2019 (the Report). The Report confirms that despite the relatively high number of arbitration related applications handled by the Court, the Court rarely allows challenges to arbitral awards. The statistics reinforce the view that England remains an arbitration friendly jurisdiction and that the Court is reluctant to interfere in the arbitral process.

The Court of Appeal broadens the Courts’ powers in aid of arbitration

7 April 2020

The Court of Appeal has handed down its judgment in A v C, in which it has confirmed that section 44(2) of the Arbitration Act 1996 gives the English Court jurisdiction to make orders against non-party witnesses for the taking of evidence in aid of foreign or domestic arbitrations.

Ethiopia Ratifies the New York Convention

24 March 2020


US courts affirm expansive discovery under 28 U.S.C. § 1782

29 SEP 2015

There is increasingly clear consensus among US courts giving § 1782 expansive reach.
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The Road Less Traveled: Exploring other arbitration forums in the Asia-Pacific Region
27 May 2021
Webinar

Global International Arbitration Training Day 2020 – Disputes arising from Sino-US tensions

29 October 2020
Webinar

Online hearings: balancing justice and efficiency for clients

20 July 2020
Webinar

NEWS

DLA Piper recognised in In-House Community Firm of the Year Awards 2021

6 May 2021
We are pleased to announce DLA Piper has been recognised in several categories in the In-House Community Firm of the Year Awards 2021.